

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,665	10/10/2003	James Edward Aston	RSW920030166US1	1807	
David R. Irvin	7590 12/28/2007		EXAMINER		
IBM Corporation		TRAN, TONGOC			
	PO Box 12195 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 ART UNIT PAPEL			PAPER NUMBER	
·	,		2134		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				H.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/683,665	ASTON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Tongoc Tran	2134			
 Period for l	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	•		
A SHOF WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing part of the provided in the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
¹ 1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	<u>September 2007</u> .		•		
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,—	nce this application is in condition for allow	•	•	s is		
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-10 and 30-39</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	laim(s) <u>1-10 and 30-39</u> is/are rejected.					
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application	Papers					
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)[] Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.			
	oplicant may not request that any objection to the					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P10-152	. ,		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊡ Ac a)⊡	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.	Certified copies of the priority documer					
	Certified copies of the priority documer					
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the pri	-	n received in this National Stage			
* 500	application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	at received			
Sec	e the attached detailed Office action for a na	t of the certified copies no	reconved.			
Attachment(s						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application			

10/683,665 Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Amendment filed on 9/28/2007. Claims 1-12 have been amended. Claims 11-29 have been canceled. Claims 30-39 have been added. Claims 1-12 and 30-39 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9, 10, 30, 31, 34-36, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaidya (U.S. Patent No. 6,279,113) In view of Hypponen (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,920).

With respect to claims 1 and 30, Yaidya discloses a method and a computer-readable medium having a computer program product for performing virus detection on a file within a computer system, said computer-readable medium comprising:

10/683,665 Art Unit: 2134

Computer program code for categorizing a plurality of virus signatures into a respective one of a plurality of anti-virus sets according to their characteristic, wherein each of said anti-virus sets contains virus signatures sharing at least one common characteristic (Yaidya, e.g. Abstract, col. 3, lines 12-16, network object corresponds to executing agent);

Associating an executing agent with a subset of said plurality of anti-virus-sets, wherein said executing agent is associated with a target file (Yaidya, e.g. col. 1, lines 34-44 and col. 3, lines 34-39); and

Yaidya discloses in response to said target file being opened by said associated executing agent, processes the content of said target file for viruses by applying virus signatures stored in said subset of said plurality of said anti-virus sets associated with said executing agent (e.g. Yaidya, col. 1, lines 34-44 and col. 3, lines 40-48). Hypponen discloses scanning software file for viral infection against different signature databases (Hypponen, e.g. col. 3, lines 14-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the categorizing of plurality of virus signatures and subset of virus signatures taught by Yaidya with scanning of files from different signature database to detect and identify viral infection to alert and protect user's from virus attack (e.g. Hypponen, col. 3, lines 14-20).

With respect to claims 2 and 31, Yaidya and Hypponen further include wherein said target file being opened by said associated executing agent is performed by an operating system (Yaidya, e.g. col. 1, lines 34-44).

10/683,665 Art Unit: 2134

With respect to claims 5 and 34, Yaidya and Hypponen further includes marking said target file has been scanned and allowing said target file to execute in response to a determination that contents of said target file do not match any virus signatures stored in said subset of said plurality of said anti-virus sets associated with said executing agent (Yaidya, e.g. col. 3, lines 12-48).

With respect to claims 6, 7, 35 and 36, Yaidya and Hypponen do not specify taking the action of quarantining or deleting said target file in response to a determination that some contents of said target file match a virus signature stored in said subset of said plurality of said anti-virus sets associated with said executing agent. However, Official Notice is taken quarantining or removing infected file is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to delete the file after the system determine that it has been attack to protect resource from further damaged.

With respect to claims 9, 10, 38 and 39, Hypponen discloses wherein the plurality of anti-virus sets have a first anti-virus set and a second anti-virus set, Hypponen further discloses the organizing step further comprises:

arranging the plurality of anti-virus sets into a hierarchical structure having first and second levels, the first level having the first anti-virus set containing virus signatures which are mutually applicable to a plurality of executing agents (e.g. col. 3,

10/683,665 Art Unit: 2134

lines 17-20), Hypponen does not explicitly describe the second level having the second anti-virus set containing virus signatures which are exclusively applicable to the first portion of the plurality of executing agents or a third level having the third anti-virus set containing virus signatures which are exclusively applicable to one of the first portion of the plurality of executing agents.

However, Hypponen describe a type of virus consisted of a piece of executable code which attached itself to a bona fide computer program that typically inserted a JUMP instruction into the start of the program which when the program was executed, caused a jump to occur to the "active" part of the virus (Hypponen, col. 1, lines 15-19).

Vaidya discloses a dynamic signature-based network intrusion detection system includes multiple attack signature profiles which are each descriptive of identifiable characteristic associated with particular network intrusion attempts associated with network objects located on the network, the attack signature profiles can include *generic attack and/or customized attack signature profile* (e.g. Vaidya, col. 3, lines 12-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hypponen's describing a certain virus that attach *in the start of a program* to trigger the virus code to activate in a software program with Vaidya's teaching of generic and/or customizing attack signature into different signature profiles to improve the virus detection system in way that efficiently associating identifiable characteristic with particular network intrusion attempts and network objects (Vaidya, col. 3, lines 34-38).

10/683,665 Art Unit: 2134

4. Claims 8 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaidya (U.S. Patent No. 6,279,113) in view of Hypponen (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,920) and further in view of Sarkar (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0158730).

With respect to claims 8 and 37, Vaidya and Hypponen do not disclose a periodic scanning said target file associated with said executing agent. However, Sarkar discloses a set of program instruction in a program module invoking file scanning for anti-virus protection according to periodic job schedule (Sarkar, [0064]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement file screening protection by grouping macro virus signatures into different databases taught by Hypponen with a program module to invoke periodic scanning according to job schedule taught by Sarkar as part of file protection maintenance.

5. Claims 3, 4, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaidya (U.S. Patent No. 6,279,113) In view of Hypponen (U.S. Patent No. 6,577,920) and further in view of McIchionc (U.S. Patent No. 6,973,578).

With respect to claims 3, 4, 32 and 33, Yaidya and Hypponen do not further include wherein said computer-readable medium further includes computer-program code for defining a rule to preclude scanning of said target file and said rule to preclude scanning of said target file, allowing said target file to execute without scanning said target file for viruses. However, McIchionc discloses conditionally scanning files based on a type of file that is being released to a requestor (McIchionic, e.g. col. 1, line 62-col.

10/683,665 Art Unit: 2134

2, line 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the technique for conditionally scanning files taught by IcIchionc with categorizing of plurality of virus signatures and subset of virus signatures taught by Yaidya with scanning of files from different signature database to detect and identify viral infection taught by Hypponen to minimizing the amount of system resources used to provide security protection from viral attack (IcIchionc, col. 1, lines 61-66).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/683.665

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3843. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner: Tongoc Tran

AU: 2134

December 10, 2007

GILBERTO BARRON TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

6 Sech 3